

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
FO. Box 1430
Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450
www.np.go.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/445,133	03/13/2000	AHMET MURSIT ESKICIOGLU	RCA88674	9526
	son 07/14/2006		EXAM	INER
24498 7590 07/14/2006 THOMSON LICENSING INC.			KLIMACH, PAULA W	
PATENT OPERATIONS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO BOX 5312 PRINCETON.	NJ 08543-5312		2135	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/445,133	ESKICIOGLU, AHME	ET MURSIT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paula W. Klimach	2135	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess
Period for Reply  A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communion  1 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply vily y Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the reamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent of the community of	CATION.  apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this common the mailing date of the m	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3) 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matt		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exal 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to on the drawing(s) be held in abeyand or	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTC	)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (S) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(S)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Art Unit: 2135

### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to amendment filed on 04/27/06. The amendment filed on 04/27/06 have been entered and made of record. Therefore, presently pending claims are 1-20.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/27/06 have been fully considered and are found persuasive and as a result the new grounds of rejection are provided below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the article by Kaplan ("IBM Cryptolopes, Super Distribution and Digital Rights Management") in view of Renaud (6,021,491).

In reference to claim 1, Kaplan discloses the cryptolope system wherein the user device receives an electronic list of events (programs; Figure on page 3), at least one event having a digitally signed encrypted message associated therewith (page 3), said encrypted message comprising a descrambling key and event information including payment amount corresponding

Art Unit: 2135

to said associated event (Terms and Conditions page 4); and receives in said device, in response to user selection of said event, said digitally signed encrypted message (page 3 and page 6). The user device receives the program after requesting in program as shown on the figure on page 3. The system of Kaplan further authenticates, in the user, the source, the producer, of the digitally signed encrypted message in response to said digitally signed encrypted message (page 5 and page 7 Buying a Cryptolope part 2 and page 2 Cryptolope-a cryptographic envelope, paragraph 2); decrypting in said devices said digitally sired encrypted message to obtain said descrambling key upon said authenticating (page 7 Buying a Cryptolope part 5); receives, in said device, said selected event from the service provider (Publisher Content Creator paragraph), said selected event being scrambled using said descrambling key for preventing unauthorized access to said selected event (Figure on page 6); and descrambles, in said device, said selected event using said descrambling key (part 8 of Buying a Cryptolope page 7).

Although Kaplan discloses the authentication of the digital signature of the produces, the source, the system of Kaplan also discloses the authentication among participants. However Kaplan does not expressly disclose the authentication in the receiving device.

Renaud discloses a method, apparatus, and products are provided for verifying the authenticity of data within one or more data files (abstract). Renaud discloses the user receiving a signed file that it verifies the authenticity of the signed signature file (column 7 lines 48-62).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to authenticate the contents of files as in Renaud using the digital signature and system of Kaplan. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because

Art Unit: 2135

digital signature verification provides a relatively high level of confidence in the authenticity of the source of the received data (Renaud column 2 lines 1-10).

Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan in view of Renaud.

In reference to claim 15 and 18, Kaplan discloses the cryptolope system wherein the user device receives an electronic list of events (programs; Figure on page 3), at least one event having a digitally signed encrypted message associated therewith (page 3), said encrypted message comprising a descrambling key and event information including payment amount corresponding to said associated event (Terms and Conditions page 4); and receives in said device, in response to user selection of said event, said digitally signed encrypted message (page 3 and page 6). The user device receives the program after requesting in program as shown on the figure on page 3. The system of Kaplan further authenticates, in the user, the source, the producer, of the digitally signed encrypted message in response to said digitally signed encrypted message (page 5 and page 7 Buying a Cryptolope part 2 and page 2 Cryptolope-a cryptographic envelope, paragraph 2); decrypting in said devices said digitally sired encrypted message to obtain said descrambling key upon said authenticating (page 7 Buying a Cryptolope part 5); receives, in said device, said selected event from the service provider (Publisher Content Creator paragraph), said selected event being scrambled using said descrambling key for preventing unauthorized access to said selected event (Figure on page 6); and descrambles, in said device, said selected event using said descrambling key (part 8 of Buying a Cryptolope page 7).

Art Unit: 2135

Although Kaplan discloses the authentication of the digital signature of the produces, the source, the system of Kaplan also discloses the authentication among participants. However Kaplan does not expressly disclose the authentication in the receiving device.

Renaud discloses a method, apparatus, and products are provided for verifying the authenticity of data within one or more data files (abstract). Renaud discloses the user receiving a signed file that it verifies the authenticity of the signed signature file (column 7 lines 48-62).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to authenticate the contents of files as in Renaud using the digital signature and system of Kaplan. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because digital signature verification provides a relatively high level of confidence in the authenticity of the source of the received data (Renaud column 2 lines 1-10).

Kaplan does not expressly disclose indicating the events that are available to the customer in the form of an electronic list of events.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to indicate the customer the types of events that are available in the form of a list of events. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because a list is an organized and simple way of communicating information.

In reference to claims 16, and 19, said device is a set-top box (page 1).

In reference to claims 17 and 20, the device is a digital television. The device suggested by Kaplan is a display device, a digital television is a display device (page 1).

Art Unit: 2135

Claims 2-14, 16-17, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the article by Kaplan and further in view of Renaud as in claim 1 and further in view of Vancelette.

In reference to claim 2, Kaplan does not disclose the use of a smart card and descrambling performed in the smart card.

Vancelette discloses the steps of decrypting said message, receiving said selected event, and descrambling said selected event are performed in a smart card coupled to the device (column 9 lines 26-33). The message being encrypted using a public key associated with said smart card and said step of decrypting uses a private key associated with and stored in said smart card, Vancelette suggests that this data is encrypted on the smart card since in the downloadable form the data is encrypted with the other data (column 6 lines 57-65).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize smart cards as disclosed in Vancelette in the system of Kaplan. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because smart cards are small an efficient with increasingly more powerful processor.

In reference to claim 3, said message further comprises event information, said event information being decrypted using said private key (page 3).

In reference to claim 4, Vancelette discloses the event information is stored where the step is performed in the smart card (column 9 line 26-30). The information is downloaded to the terminals memory, the smart card has memory also and is situated at the terminal and is therefore available memory for the storage of the downloaded information.

Art Unit: 2135

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize smart cards as disclosed in Vancelette in the system of Kaplan. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because smart cards are small an efficient with increasingly more powerful processor.

In reference to claim 5, Vancelette discloses the smart card has a card body having a plurality of terminals arranged on a surface of said card body in accordance with one of ISO 7816 and PCMCIA card standards. It is inherent that the card body has terminals on its body for connection to the card reader for accessing the memory of the card.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize smart cards as disclosed in Vancelette in the system of Kaplan. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because smart cards are small an efficient with increasingly more powerful processor.

In reference to claim 6, authenticating said list of events to verify the origin of said message. The events in the list are authenticated by the virtue of the list being encrypted by the service provider. The terminal then decrypts the packets with the corresponding key. This implies that only those with the key that corresponds the key of the service provider can decrypt the list and therefore the information comes from the service provider (page 2).

In reference to claim 8, event information comprises channel identification data, event identity data, date and time stamp data, and billing data (page 4).

In reference to claim 9, further comprising the step of storing said event information, wherein said step of storing said event information is performed in said device (page 6).

Art Unit: 2135

In reference to claims 13 and 14, said event information is used within said device to update said user's account information (column 2 lines 59-65).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the smart card as in Vancelette in the system of Kaplan. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because smart cards are small and portable and have the processing power to perform encryption.

In reference to claims 7, Kaplan discloses the use of the private key used for digital signatures (page 3).

In reference to claim 10, Kaplan discloses digital signature, said second public key and said second private key are issued by an independent certificate authority and are associated with said list provider (page 6).

In reference to claim 11, said device is a digital television. The device suggested by Vancelette is a display device, 80, a digital television is a display device and is therefore the device suggested by Vancelette.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize smart cards as disclosed in Vancelette in the system of Kaplan. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because smart cards are small an efficient with increasingly more powerful processor.

In reference to claims 12 said device is a set-top box (page 1).

Art Unit: 2135

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paula W. Klimach whose telephone number is (571) 272-3854. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Thr 9:30 a.m to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PWK Thursday, July 06, 2006 HOSUK SONG PRIMARY EXAMINER